PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNAT	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY								
TO: THOMAS G. PLANT ELI LILLY AND COMPANY MAW				PCT					
P. O. BOX 6288 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288					RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1) JAN 2000				
	X 16397				Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 OCT 2005				
	's or agent's file r	elerence		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
X16397 Internation	nal application No	o.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US0:	5/09307		17 March 2005 (17.03.2	005)	23 March 2004 (23.03.2004)				
		cation (IPC) o	r both national classificat	ion and IPC					
		16/00; A61K	39/40, 39/42, 39/395 and	US Cl.: 530/387.3,	387.9, 388.24; 424/133.1, 139.1, 141.1, 145.1				
Applicant									
ELI LILL	Y AND COMPA	<u> </u>							
1. This o	ppinion contains i	ndications rela	ting to the following item	as:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion						
	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establis	hment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity	y of invention						
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	plication					
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.									
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.									
For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS/	√220 .						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.									
	mailing address o		Date of complet	tion of this opinion	Authorized officer				
C P	Inil Stop PCT, Atta commissioner for Pa O. Box 1450	tents	16 September 2	005 (16.09.2005)	Michael Szperka Janua Ferral Telephone No. (571) 272-1600				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230					Telephone No. (571) 272-1600				
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)									

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/09307

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion							
_								
1. With	1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed							
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).							
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
a.	a. type of material							
	a sequence listing							
	table(s) related to the sequence listing							
Ъ.	format of material							
	on paper							
	in electronic form							
c.	time of filing/furnishing							
	contained in the international application as filed							
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.							
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.							
3. 🔯	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.							
4. Additi	onal comments:							
own PCT	/ISA/237(Box No. 1) (April 2005)							

101111 10111125 (BOX 110: 1) (14111 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/09307

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability .							
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
the entire international application							
claims Nos. 6-9 and 14-17							
because:							
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):							
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 6-9 and 14-17 are so unclear that							
no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
The indicated claims are improper multiple dependent claims as per PCT Rule 6.4(a).							
the claims, or said claums Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.							
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing, the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: [
Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.							
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.							
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).							
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.							
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
Sec Supplemental Box for further details.							

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/09307

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
1. Statement									
Novelty (N)	Claims	3 1 and 3	YES						
	Claims	2, 4, 5, and 10-13	NO						
Inventive step (IS)		1 and 3	YES						
	Claims	2, 4, 5, and 10-13	NO						
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5 and 10-13	YES						
		NONE	NO						
2. Citations and explanations:									
Claims 2, 4, and 5 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by anticipated by Koike et al (US Patent No. 6,018,032, see entire document). Koike et al. teach the sequences of antibodies that comprise the CDR sequences of SEQ ID NO: 23 and 38 (see entire document, particularly sequences #49 and 50 of Koike et al.) Note that the claims do not require the presence of all three recited CDRs. As such, the antibodies disclosed by Koike et al. contain the structural elements recited in the claim and as such would have the inherent ability to bind myostatin even though Koike et al. do not disclose this functional property of their antibody. Therefore, the prior art anticipates the claimed invention.									
Claims 10-13 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US Patent No. 6,096,506, see entire document). Lee et al. teach monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies that specifically bind myostatin as well as methods of producing such antibodies (see entire document, particularly the claims). These methods include injecting animals with polypeptides comprising the recited sequences, and screening for antibodies that bind myostatin (see particularly Example 4 and lines 18-29 of column 8). As such, the prior art anticipates the claimed invention.									
Claims 10-13 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as be	ing anticip	ated by Grobet et al. (US Patent No. 6, 103, 466, see entir	ге						
document). Grobet et al. teach monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies that specifically bind myostatin as well as methods for their production and screening (see particularly from line 12 of column 21 to line 12 of column 23). Therefore, the prior art anticipates the claimed invention.									
Claims I and 3 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not appear to teach or fairly suggest the combination of all three heavy chain CDRs recited in claim 3 into a single antibody heavy chain nor does it appear to teach or suggest the pairing of the heavy and light chain sequences recited in claim 1.									
Claims 1-5 and 10-13 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.									
Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)									

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

NOTESTO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Noies, "Article," "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative. Instructions, respectively

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file; mendments of the claims under Article 19 except where e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims 1: 2-Jding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (July 1998: reprint April 2002)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must undicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged.
- (ü) the claim is carcelled
- (iu) the claim is new;
- 1:v) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed,
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where onginally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged, new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to 11"
- (Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims I to 6 and 14 unchanged, claims 7 to 13 cancelled, new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- + [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
- "Claims 1-10 unchanged, claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claims 14; claims 17 supdivided into amended claims 13, 16 and 17, new claims 20 and 21 added."

Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments night have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under

The statement wild be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing, the amendments and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such ameddments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicants attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.